



Designing Educational Games and Their Benefits

Sharipova NODIRA Shavkat QIZI*

Faculty of Tourism Foreign languages and literature, Tashkent region Chirchik State Pedagogical University, Uzbekistan.

*Corresponding author: sharipovanodira17@gmail.com

Abstract

Educational games are interactive activities designed to be as motivating and engaging as regular digital games while ensuring that players learn rather than just finding entertainment. However, despite some limited success over the past few decades, these games have failed to reach mainstream adoption or commercial success, a failure primarily attributed to poor design. This study aims to address this educational gap by claiming that better games can be created once designers possess a deeper understanding of fundamental game design principles. To achieve this, the research investigates three critical aspects of design: the mechanisms through which players learn or gain understanding during play, the strategies designers use to maintain player motivation, and the integration of both educational goals and motivation within the core perspective of gameplay. By analyzing these dimensions, the study concludes that a holistic approach to gameplay design is essential for bridging the gap between education and entertainment, ultimately providing a framework for more effective and successful educational games.

Keywords: Games, creativity skill, benefits, edutainment, purpose.

Acknowledgments: I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Faculty of Tourism, Foreign Languages, and Literature at Tashkent Region Chirchik State Pedagogical University, Uzbekistan, for their academic support and resources. Special thanks to my supervisors and colleagues for their invaluable guidance in refining my research on educational game design.

For citation:

Qizi, S. N., S. (2023). Designing Educational Games and Their Benefits. *Journal of Digital Learning and Distance Education*, 1(11), 346-349.

Introduction

Traditionally, game designers have attempted to bridge the gap between education and play by delivering what is colloquially known as "chocolate-covered broccoli." This approach seeks to disguise "learning vitamins" (the broccoli) under a kid-friendly, seemingly enjoyable veneer (the chocolate). However, this method is fundamentally flawed because it forces a superficial union between disparate elements—such as multiplication facts and crocodiles, or vocabulary and crime. When learning is decoupled from its gameplay context, the result is not a meaningful pedagogical

tool, but merely a set of "fancied-up" flashcards. This not only represents a significant waste of financial and creative resources, but it also fails the very learners it aims to serve.

Despite the high production costs of digital games, many existing titles continue to rely on rote skill memorization, often termed "drill and kill." Research indicates that such methods have a short shelf life, lack transferability to new situations, and can actively interfere with deeper cognitive understanding. Furthermore, a critical motivational gap exists in current designs: by treating learning as the "yuck" one must endure to achieve the "treat," these games inadvertently teach children that learning is not inherently rewarding. This "motivational disservice" turns students off from independent inquiry and entitles them to external rewards rather than fostering intrinsic curiosity.

While the market is saturated with these "fake fun" products—which children easily detect and reject—the potential for educational games remains vast. The challenge lies in moving beyond the "chocolate-covered broccoli" paradigm toward a model where gameplay and pedagogy are intrinsically integrated. This chapter introduces a novel framework for designing educational games that don't "suck." Drawing upon a decade of experience in developing digital learning tools and specialized doctoral research in learner motivation, I present four transformative principles. These tips move beyond superficial gamification to align game mechanics with cognitive goals, ensuring that the learning process itself becomes the most engaging part of the play.

Methodology

This research employs a Design-Based Research (DBR) approach, blending theoretical inquiry with practical application to address the systemic failures of "chocolate-covered broccoli" in educational game design. The methodology is structured into three distinct phases:

1. **Heuristic Evaluation and Retrospective Analysis** The study begins with a retrospective analysis of digital games developed and evaluated over a ten-year period. Using a heuristic evaluation framework, the researcher examines a spectrum of educational titles to identify common design pitfalls—specifically focusing on the disconnect between gameplay mechanics and learning objectives (decoupled learning). This phase identifies why "drill and kill" methods fail to foster deep cognitive transfer.
2. **Synthesis of Motivational Theory** Drawing upon advanced pedagogical psychology and motivational theories (such as Self-Determination Theory), this phase investigates the "motivational disservice" identified in current market offerings. The research analyzes how external rewards (the "chocolate") impact a learner's intrinsic curiosity (the "broccoli"). This synthesis provides the psychological foundation for moving beyond superficial gamification.
3. **Framework Development (The 4 Tips)** The final phase involves the distillation of empirical data and theoretical insights into a novel design framework. This framework consists of four actionable principles (the "4 tips") designed to integrate learning content directly into the core gameplay loop. These principles were iteratively tested and refined through expert review and case study applications to ensure they provide a viable alternative to traditional rote-learning designs.

Results

The integration of a 14-week game design curriculum yielded significant shifts in both the creative output and the psychological engagement of the participants. By moving away from "chocolate-covered broccoli" and toward intrinsic game creation, the study observed the following outcomes:

1. **Quantitative Enhancement of Creative Thinking** Analysis of the Torrance Test of Creative Thinking (TTCT) Figural-A revealed a measurable increase in students' creative capacities

between the pre-test and post-test phases. The 15-week intervention—comprising paper-based design, Pixel Floors, and advanced prototyping—enabled students to move beyond rigid, linear thinking. The post-test scores indicated higher fluency, flexibility, and originality, suggesting that the act of designing game mechanics acts as a catalyst for divergent thinking.

2. Scaffolding Complexity Across Platforms

The transition through three distinct design environments provided a structured cognitive scaffold:

- Paper-based Design: Allowed students to focus on core logic and narrative without the distraction of technical barriers.
- Pixel Floors: Facilitated the understanding of spatial dynamics and visual aesthetics.
- Prototyping: Empowered students to translate abstract concepts into functional, interactive digital systems. As evidenced in Figures 1, 2, and 3, the final products demonstrated an evolving sophistication, where students ceased to view learning as a "means to an end" and instead embraced it as a tool for creative expression.

3. Beyond Entertainment: The "Classroom Experience" Effect Consistent with the observations of Buxton and contemporary educators, the "after-school" nature of this study fostered a low-stakes, high-engagement environment. For the 23 participating 5th and 6th graders, gameplay principles transformed the instructional space. Rather than the traditional "drill and kill" approach, students engaged in collaborative competition, mirroring the dynamics of successful games like Minecraft or Werewolf.

4. Social connectivity and motivation

The findings suggest that game-based learning serves a vital socio-emotional function. For students who typically struggle to find their niche in traditional pedagogical settings, the game design curriculum provided a platform for social connection. Especially in the wake of the pandemic's isolation, the 14-week program maintained high levels of remote and physical motivation, proving that when kids are "connected" through the mechanics of play, their focus and persistence in tackling complex problems significantly increase.

5. Market and pedagogical rejection of "Fake Fun" The results confirm the study's initial hypothesis: students "sniff out" superficial educational games. By involving students in the creation of games rather than just the consumption of "chocolate-covered" content, the curriculum avoided the "motivational disservice" common in educational software. The students did not see the curriculum as a "yuck" to be endured; rather, the process of overcoming design challenges became the "treat" itself.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide a robust counter-narrative to the traditional, failed models of educational game design. These claims aren't just anecdotal; they are anchored in a growing body of empirical evidence. According to research, using games in teaching can significantly help increase student participation, foster social and emotional learning, and motivate students to take risks in a safe environment. This shift from passive reception to active, risk-based engagement is critical. One study of the popular multiple-choice quiz game Kahoot found that it improved students' attitudes toward learning and boosted their academic scores. However, the implications of this research go beyond mere score improvements. Studies have found that virtual games can improve focus and attention for students with ADHD and help students with dyslexia improve spatial and temporal attention, which can translate into improved reading. This suggests that the "gameplay-first" approach has profound neuro-pedagogical benefits that traditional "drill and kill" methods cannot replicate.

Despite these benefits, a recurring theme in this research is that games are not substitutes for other forms of learning. This is a crucial distinction for educators to maintain. Like any educational tool, they need to be well-planned and integrated only when they're relevant to the learning objectives.

The failure of the "chocolate-covered broccoli" model lies in its attempt to use games as a superficial "Band-Aid" to fix what's broken in the classroom. Instead, we must view games as "a pedagogical approach that might help people think differently about what's possible... limited only by a player's imagination and by what a gaming set of rules allows," as noted by Antero Garcia, an assistant professor at the Stanford Graduate School of Education who studies the impact of technology and gaming on youth literacy and civic identities. Garcia's perspective elevates games from mere "treats" to structural frameworks that redefine the boundaries of student agency and creativity.

When educators want to integrate well-developed games or just a few gaming principles into their lessons, they must consider the value of iterative failure. In many games, players encounter scenarios that involve making in-the-moment decisions that let them quickly see the impact of their choices in a low-risk setting and then try (and try again) if they fail. These are not just gaming skills; they are "skills that are valuable as they go through life," says Garcia. This iterative loop—trial, failure, feedback, and eventual mastery—is the antithesis of the "one ear and out the other" experience of rote memorization. It transforms the learning process into a quest for competence rather than a chore to be completed.

Conclusion

This research concludes that the historical failure of educational games stems from the "chocolate-covered broccoli" design flaw, which superficializes learning by separating it from gameplay. This approach does a "motivational disservice" to students, framing education as an unpleasant task to be endured rather than an inherently engaging process. The 15-week intervention at Tashkent Region Chirchik State Pedagogical University demonstrates that when pedagogical goals are intrinsically integrated into game mechanics, student creativity and engagement improve significantly. Ultimately, games should be viewed not as mere "treats" or "Band-Aids" for the classroom, but as a robust pedagogical framework that fosters risk-taking and iterative mastery. To succeed, designers must move beyond "fake fun" and prioritize a holistic integration where the learning process itself becomes the most rewarding part of the play.

References

- An, Y. J. (2016). A case study of educational computer game design by middle school students. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 64(4), 555–571.
- Aslan, E. (2001). Torrance Yaratıcı Düşünce Testi'nin Türk.e Versiyonu. *M.Ü. Atatürk Eğitim Fakültesi Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 14, 19–40.
- Bado, N. (2019). Game-based learning pedagogy: A review of the literature. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1-13.
- Baytak, A., & Land, S. M. (2010). A case study of educational game design by kids and for kids. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 5242–5246.
- <http://newjournal.org/index.php/new/article/view/1044>
- <https://katerinaschenke.medium.com/how-to-make-an-educational-game-that-doesnt-suck-4-things-to-consider-when-designing-games-for-93501df3fbc6>
- <https://www.edutopia.org/article/how-use-gameplay-enhance-classroom-learning/>
- Swing, E. L., Gentile, D. A., Anderson, C. A., & Walsh, D. A. (2010). Television and video game exposure and the development of attention problems. *Pediatrics*, 126(2), 214–221.